11/29/69

Dear Howard,

Reading the memos with your 11/10.

Anterior neck: this has been my belief from the first. Welcome the additional technical explanations.

Superimposition of Frames 222-240: Interesting. But a simple question. Do you believe he would have held on to the hat after the wrist was smashed? This is the kind of work I wish could be done with all evidence in all frames.

Connally trajectories: How accurate do you really believe the FBI estimate of 20°m can be when measured on the loose clothing? They had the body available. I am as willing to believe they used the clothing to avoid fact.

Willis 5: agreed. But there is more, all corroborative.

Betzner: also agreed.

Since writing Dick earlier, I got out the prints of the enlargements made for me from my original Altgens, the contact negative, and neither is as clear as your print. This leaves only my original print, which is packed away with the copy of the book. I'll check it when I can. But I doubt it is as clear as yours.

Hastily,